arXiv:1405.6428v2 [math.FA] 16 Jun 2014

The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators on $C(K)$

María D. Acosta

Dedicated to Rafael Payá on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Abstract. We provide a version for operators of the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem when the domain space is the complex space $C_0(L)$. In fact we prove that the pair $(C_0(L), Y)$ satisfies the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators for every Hausdorff locally compact space L and any $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex space. As a consequence, this holds for $Y = L_p(\mu)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$.

1. Introduction

Bishop-Phelps Theorem states the denseness of the subset of norm attaining functionals in the (topological) dual of a Banach space [**11**]. Since the Bishop-Phelps Theorem was proved in the sixties, some interesting papers provided versions of this result for operators. Related to those results, it is worth to mention the pioneering work by Lindenstrauss [**29**], the somehow surprising result obtained by Bourgain [**14**] and also results for concrete classical Banach spaces (see below). In full generality there is no parallel version of Bishop-Phelps Theorem for operators even if the domain space is c_0 [**29**]. Lindenstrauss also provided some results of denseness of the subset of norm attaining operators by assuming some isometric properties either on the domain or on the range space [**29**]. We mention here two concrete consequences of these results. If the domain space is ℓ_1 or the range space is c_0, every operator can be approximated by norm attaining operators. First Lindenstrauss [**29**] and later Bourgain [**14**] proved that certain isomorphic assumptions on the domain space (reflexivity or even Radon-Nikodým property, respectively) implies the denseness of the subset of norm attaining operators in the corresponding space of linear (bounded) operators. For classical Banach spaces, we only mention some papers containing positive results for specific pairs (see for instance [**23**], [**21**], [**33**], [**34**], [**7**]) and a few containing counterexamples (see [**33**], [**24**], [**20**], [**5**] and [**1**]). The paper [**31**] also answers an old open problem in the topic.

Recently the paper [**2**] dealt with "quantitative" versions of the Bishop-Phelps Theorem for operators. The motivating result is known nowadays as *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem* [**12, 13**] and has been a very useful tool to study numerical ranges of operators (see for instance [**13**]). This result can be stated as follows.

The author was supported MTM2012-31755, Junta de Andalucía P09-FQM–4911 and FQM–185.

Let X be a Banach space and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Given $x \in B_X$ and $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ with $|1-x^*(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$, there are elements $y \in S_X$ and $y^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that $y^*(y) = 1$, $\|y - x\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|y^* - x^*\| < \varepsilon$.

Here X^* denotes the (topological) dual of the Banach space X and S_X its unit sphere. We write B_X to denote the closed unit ball of X.

Throughout this paper, for two Banach spaces X and Y, $L(X,Y)$ is the *space of linear bounded operators* from X into Y. We recall that the pair (X,Y) *has the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators* (*BPBp*), if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any $T \in S_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$, if $x_0 \in S_X$ is such that $\|Tx_0\| > 1-\eta(\varepsilon)$, then there exist an element $u_0 \in S_X$ and an operator $S \in S_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\|Su_0\| = 1, \quad \|u_0 - x_0\| < \varepsilon \ \text{ and } \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

Acosta et all proved that for any space Y satisfying the property β of Lindenstrauss, the pair (X,Y) has the BPBp for operators for every Banach space X [**2**, Theorem 2.2]. For the domain space, there is no a reasonably general property implying a positive result. However there are some positive results in concrete cases. For instance, there is a characterization of the spaces Y such that the pair (ℓ_1, Y) satisfies the BPBp [**2**]. As a consequence of this result, it is known that this condition is satisfied by finite-dimensional spaces, uniformly convex spaces, $C(K)$ (K is some compact topological space) and $L_1(\mu)$ (any measure μ). Aron et all showed that the pair $(L_1(\mu), L_\infty([0,1]))$ has also the BPBp for every σ-finite measure μ [**9**]. This result has been extended recently by Choi et all (see [**17**]). Some related results for operators whose domain is $L_1(\mu)$ can be also found in [**16**], [**4**] and [**17**].

Now we point out results stating that the pair (X,Y) has the BPBp in case that the domain space is $C_0(L)$ (space of continuous functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space L vanishing at infinity). Kim proved that in the real case the pair (c_0, Y) has the BPBp for operators whenever Y is uniformly convex [**26**]. The paper [**3**] contains also a positive result for the pair $(C(K), C(S))$ in the real case (K and S are compact Hausdorff spaces). Let us point out that in the complex case it is not known yet if the subset of norm attaining operators from $C(K)$ to $C(S)$ is dense in $L(C(K), C(S))$. Very recently Kim, Lee and Lin [**28**] proved that the pair $(L_\infty(\mu), Y)$ has the BPBp whenever Y is a uniformly convex space and μ is any positive measure. The authors also state the analogous result in complex case for the pairs (c_0, Y) and $(L_\infty(\mu), Y)$ (μ is any positive measure) whenever Y is a $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex space. It also holds that the pair $(C(K), Y)$ has the BPBp in the real case for any uniformly convex space [**27**].

In this paper we show that the subspace of weakly compact operators from $C_0(L)$ into Y satisfies the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators in the complex case, for every locally compact Hausdorff space L and for any $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex (complex) space. Let us notice that this is an extension of the result in [**28**] for the complex case in two ways. First we consider any space $C(K)$ instead of $L_\infty(\mu)$ as the domain space and also we consider a strictly more general property on the range space, namely $\mathbb{C}$-uniform convexity instead of uniform convexity. Our result extends [**2**, Theorem 5.2] in a satisfactory way and the recent result in [**28**] for the case that the domain space is $L_\infty(\mu)$.

As a consequence, in the complex case the pair $(C(K), L_1(\mu))$ has the BPBp for every compact Hausdorff space K and any measure μ.

Let us recall again that it is not trivial at all to obtain the result in the complex case from the real case when the domain space is $C(K)$. As we already pointed out, it is an open problem whether or not the subset of norm attaining operators between complex spaces $C(K)$ and $C(S)$ is dense in $L(C(K), C(S))$. However a positive result for real $C(K)$ spaces was proved many years ago [**23**].

Let us notice that in case that the range space is $C(K)$ or more generally a uniform algebra, the papers [**8**] and [**15**] provides positive results for the BPBp for the class of Asplund operators.

2. The result

Throughout this section it worths to consider only **complex** normed spaces. For a complex Banach space Y, recall that the $\mathbb{C}$-*modulus of convexity* δ is defined for every $\varepsilon > 0$ by

$$\delta(\varepsilon) = \inf\big\{\sup\{\|x + \lambda\varepsilon y\| - 1 : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| = 1\big\}\colon x, y \in S_Y\big\}.$$

Recall that the Banach space Y is $\mathbb{C}$-*uniformly convex* if $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ [**19**]. Every uniformly convex complex space is $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex and the converse is not true. Globevnik proved that the complex space $L_1(\mu)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex [**19**, Theorem 1].

We will denote by $\overline{D}(0,1)$ the closed unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. Let us notice that for $0 < s < t$ it is satisfied that $\sup\big\{\|x + \lambda sy\| : \lambda \in \overline{D}(0,1)\big\} \leq \sup\big\{\|x + \lambda ty\| : \lambda \in \overline{D}(0,1)\big\}$. Hence δ is an increasing function and $\delta(t) \leq t$ for every $t > 0$.

In what follows L will be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and $C_0(L)$ will be the space of continuous complex valued functions on L vanishing at infinity.

It is convenient to state the next trivial result

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that* $\lambda, w \in \overline{D}(0,1), t \in]0,1[$ *and* $\operatorname{Re} w\lambda > 1 - t$. *Then* $|w - \overline{\lambda}| < \sqrt{2t}$.

As we already mentioned, the subset of norm attaining operators between two Banach spaces is not always dense in the corresponding space of operators in case that the domain space is $C_0(L)$. Let us notice that there are examples of spaces Y for which the subspace of finite-dimensional operators from the space ℓ_∞^2 to Y does not have the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (see [**2**, Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 3.9] or [**10**, Corollary 3.3]). For those reasons some restriction is needed on the range space in order to obtain a BPBp result in case that the domain space is $C_0(L)$.

Schachermayer proved a Bishop-Phelps result in the real case for the subspace of weakly compact operators from any space $C_0(L)$ into any Banach space [**33**]. Alaminos et all extended this result to the complex case [**6**]. The last result is one of the tools essentially used in the proof of the main result. This is our motivation for the next assertion, that might be known, and has interest in itself.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let Y be a $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex Banach space and L any locally compact Hausdorff space. Then every operator from $C_0(L)$ into Y is weakly compact.*

PROOF. By the proof of James distortion Theorem (see for instance [**30**, Proposition 2.e.3]) the space Y cannot contain a copy of c_0 (the space of complex sequences converging to zero, endowed with the usual norm). Otherwise, by considering a convenient multiple of the norm in Y, $|\!|\!|\ |\!|\!|$, that it is still $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex, one can assume that the usual norm of the copy of c_0 ($\|\ \|$) satisfies

$$\alpha\, |\!|\!| x |\!|\!| \leq \|x\| \leq |\!|\!| x |\!|\!|, \quad \forall x \in X, \tag{2.1}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. By the proof of [**30**, Proposition 2.e.3], for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a sequence (y_n) in Y of block basis of the usual basis of c_0 satisfying

$$|\!|\!| y_n |\!|\!| = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \left|\!\left|\!\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n \right|\!\right|\!\right| \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \|(a_n)\|_\infty, \quad \forall (a_n) \in c_0$$

and $\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n\| = \|(a_n)\|_\infty$ for every $(a_n) \in c_0$. Clearly the above condition contradicts the $\mathbb{C}$-uniform convexity of Y.

Now, in view of Bessaga-Pelczynski selection principle, if the underlying real space of a complex space contains a real space isomorphic to c_0, then it contains the complex space c_0. So $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ does not contain the real space c_0, hence for any compact space K, every (real) operator from the space $C(K)$ (real valued functions) into Y is weakly compact. As a consequence, every operator from the complex space $C(K)$ into Y is also weakly compact. From here it can be easily deduced that every operator from $C_0(L)$ into Y is weakly compact, since $C_0(L)$ is complemented in the space $C(K)$, being K the Alexandrov compactification of L. Hence every operator from $C_0(L)$ into Y can be extended to an operator from $C_0(L)$ into Y. □

For a locally compact Hausdorff topological space L, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(L)$ the space of Borel measurable and bounded complex valued functions defined on L, endowed with the sup norm. If $B \subset L$ is a Borel measurable set, denote by P_B the projection $P_B : \mathcal{B}(L) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(L)$ given by $P_B(f) = f\chi_B$ for any $f \in \mathcal{B}(L)$. Of course, in view of Riesz Theorem, the space $\mathcal{B}(L)$ can be identified in a natural way as a subspace of $C_0(L)^{**}$. As a consequence, for an operator $T \in L(C_0(L), Y)$ and a Borel set $B \subset L$, the composition $T^{**}P_B$ makes sense.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let Y be a $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex space with modulus of $\mathbb{C}$-convexity δ. Let L be a locally compact Haussdorf topological space and A a Borel set of L. Assume that for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $T \in S_{L(C_0(L),Y)}$ it is satisfied $\|T^{**}P_A\| > 1 - \frac{\delta(\varepsilon)}{1+\delta(\varepsilon)}$. Then $\|T^{**}(I - P_A)\| \leq \varepsilon$.*

PROOF. Assume that T satisfies the assumptions of the result. By Proposition 2.2 T is a weakly compact operator, so $T^{**}(C_0(L))^{**} \subset Y$ and we consider the subspace $\mathcal{B}(K) \subset C_0(L)^{**}$. We write $\eta = \frac{\delta(\varepsilon)}{1+\delta(\varepsilon)}$. By the assumption, there exists $f \in S_{\mathcal{B}(L)}$ such that $f = P_A(f)$ and $\|T^{**}(f)\| > 1 - \eta > 0$. For every $g \in B_{\mathcal{B}(L)}$ it is satisfied that $\|f + (I - P_A)(g)\| \leq 1$ and so $\|T^{**}(f + \lambda(I - P_A)g)\| \leq 1$ for every $\lambda \in \overline{D}(0,1)$. That is,

for any $\lambda \in \overline{D}(0,1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \frac{T^{**}(f)}{\|T^{**}(f)\|} + \lambda \frac{T^{**}(I-P_A)(g)}{\|T^{**}(f)\|} \Big\| &\leq \frac{1}{\|T^{**}(f)\|} \\ &< \frac{1}{1-\eta} = 1+\delta(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence $\|T^{**}(I-P_A)(g)\| \leq \varepsilon \|T^{**}(f)\| \leq \varepsilon$ and so $\|T^{**}(I-P_A)\| \leq \varepsilon$. □

THEOREM 2.4. *The pair $(C_0(L), Y)$ satisfies the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators for any locally compact Hausdorff topological space L and any $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex space Y. Moreover the function η appearing in the Definition of BPBp depends only on the modulus of convexity of Y.*

PROOF. Fix $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and let $\delta(\varepsilon)$ be the modulus of $\mathbb{C}$-convexity of Y. We denote $\eta = \dfrac{\varepsilon^2 \delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}\right)^2}{10945\left(1+\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}\right)\right)^2}$ and $s = \frac{\eta(2-\varepsilon)\varepsilon^2}{2(\varepsilon^2+2\cdot 12^2)}$.

Assume that $T \in S_{L(C_0(L),Y)}$ and $f_0 \in S_{C_0(L)}$ satisfy that

$$\|Tf_0\| > 1-s.$$

Our goal is to find an operator $S \in S_{L(C_0(L),Y)}$ and $g \in S_{C_0(L)}$ such that

$$\|S(g)\| = 1, \qquad \|S-T\| < \varepsilon, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|g-f_0\| < \varepsilon.$$

We can choose $y_1^* \in S_{Y^*}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} y_1^*(Tf_0) = \|Tf_0\| > 1-s. \tag{2.2}$$

We identify $C_0(L)^*$ with the space $M(L)$ of Borel regular complex measures on L in view of Riesz Theorem. We write $\mu_1 = T^*(y_1^*) \in M(L)$. Since μ_1 is absolutely continuous with respect to its variation $|\mu_1|$, by the Radon-Nikodým Theorem there is a Borel measurable function $g_1 \in \mathcal{B}(L)$ such that $|g_1| = 1$ and such that

$$\mu_1(f) = \int_L f g_1 \, d|\mu_1|, \qquad \forall f \in C_0(L).$$

We write $\beta = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\cdot 12^2}$ and denote by A the set given by

$$A = \big\{ t \in L : \operatorname{Re} f_0(t) g_1(t) > 1-\beta \big\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$\|(f_0 - \overline{g_1})\chi_A\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2\beta} = \frac{\varepsilon}{12}. \tag{2.3}$$

Clearly A is also Borel measurable and we know that

$$\begin{aligned} 1-s &< \operatorname{Re} y_1^*(Tf_0) = \operatorname{Re}\mu_1(f_0) = \operatorname{Re}\int_L f_0 g_1\, d|\mu_1| \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\int_A f_0 g_1\, d|\mu_1| + \operatorname{Re}\int_{L\backslash A} f_0 g_1\, d|\mu_1| \\ &\leq |\mu_1|(A) + (1-\beta)|\mu_1|(L\backslash A) \\ &= |\mu_1|(L) - \beta|\mu_1|(L\backslash A) \\ &\leq 1 - \beta|\mu_1|(L\backslash A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\mu_1|(L\backslash A) \leq \frac{s}{\beta} = \frac{\eta(2-\varepsilon)12^2}{\varepsilon^2 + 2\cdot 12^2}. \tag{2.4}$$

By Lusin's Theorem (see for instance [**32**, Theorem 2.23]) and by the inner regularity of μ_1 there is a compact set $B \subset A$ such that the restriction of g_1 to B is continuous, and $|\mu_1|(A\backslash B) \leq \dfrac{\varepsilon\eta}{2}$ and so

$$|\mu_1|(L\backslash B) \leq |\mu_1|(L\backslash A) + |\mu_1|(A\backslash B) \leq \frac{s}{\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon\eta}{2}. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2) and the previous estimate we obtain

$$|\mu_1|(B) = |\mu_1|(L) - |\mu_1|(L\backslash B) > 1 - s - \frac{s}{\beta} - \frac{\varepsilon\eta}{2} = 1-\eta. \tag{2.6}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{**}P_B\| &\geq |\mu_1|(B) \\ &> 1-\eta \\ &> 1 - \frac{\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}\right)}{1+\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 2.3 we deduce

$$\left\|T^{**}\big(I-P_B\big)\right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}. \tag{2.7}$$

By Proposition 2.2 T is a weakly compact operator and so it is satisfied that $T^{**}(C_0(L)^{**}) \subset Y$. So we can define the operator $\tilde{S} \in L(C_0(L), Y)$ by

$$\tilde{S}(f) = T^{**}(f\chi_B) + \varepsilon_1 y_1^*\big(T^{**}(f\chi_B)\big)T^{**}(\overline{g_1}\chi_B) \qquad (f \in C_0(L)),$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \dfrac{1}{6}\dfrac{\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}\right)}{1+\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}\right)}$.

Let us notice that $\tilde{S}^{**} = \tilde{S}^{**}P_B$ and we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{S}\| &\geq |y_1^*\big(\tilde{S}^{**}(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)| \\
&= \big|y_1^*\big(T^{**}(\overline{g_1}\chi_B)\big) + \varepsilon_1\big(y_1^*\big(T^{**}(\overline{g_1}\chi_B)\big)\big(y_1^*\big(T^{**}(\overline{g_1}\chi_B)\big)\big)\big| \\
&\geq |y_1^*\big(T^{**}(\overline{g_1}\chi_B)\big)|\,|1 + \varepsilon_1 y_1^*\big(T^{**}(\overline{g_1}\chi_B)|\\
&\geq |\mu_1|(B)\big(1 + \varepsilon_1|\mu_1|(B)\big) \\
&> (1-\eta)\big(1+\varepsilon_1(1-\eta)\big) \qquad \text{(by (2.6))}
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence

$$1 \leq 1 - \eta + \varepsilon_1\big(1-\eta\big)^2 \leq \|\tilde{S}\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon_1, \tag{2.8}$$

and so

$$\big|1 - \|\tilde{S}\|\big| \leq \varepsilon_1. \tag{2.9}$$

For every $h \in C(B)$, we will denote by $h\chi_B$ the natural extension of h to L, which is a Borel function on L. Let be S_1 the operator given by

$$S_1(h) = \tilde{S}^{**}(h\chi_B) \qquad (h \in C(B)),$$

which is clearly an operator from $C(B)$ into Y. Since $\tilde{S}^{**} = \tilde{S}^{**}P_B$, it is clear that $\|S_1\| = \|\tilde{S}\|$. We know that B is a compact set and $\tilde{S}$ is weakly compact, by [**6**, Theorem 2] there is an operator $S_2 \in L(C(B), Y)$ and $h_1 \in S_{C(B)}$ satisfying that

$$\|\tilde{S}\| = \|S_2\| = \|S_2(h_1)\| \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|S_2 - S_1\| < \frac{\varepsilon\eta}{2}. \tag{2.10}$$

We can choose $y_2^* \in S_{Y^*}$ such that

$$y_2^*\big(S_2(h_1)\big) = \|S_2\|. \tag{2.11}$$

By rotating the elements h_1 and y_2^* if needed we can also assume that $y_1^*\big(T^{**}\big(h_1\chi_B\big)\big) \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. In view of (2.10), the choice of y_2^* and by using that $y_1^*\big(T^{**}\big(h_1\chi_B\big)\big) \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{S}\| - \frac{\varepsilon\eta}{2} &\leq \text{Re } y_2^*\big(S_1\big(h_1\big)\big) \\
&= \text{Re } y_2^*\big(\tilde{S}^{**}\big(h_1\chi_B\big)\big) \\
&= \text{Re } y_2^*\big(T^{**}(h_1\chi_B)\big) + \varepsilon_1 \text{Re } y_1^*\big(T^{**}(h_1\chi_B)\big)y_2^*\big(T^{**}\big(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)\big) \\
&\leq 1 + \varepsilon_1 \text{Re } y_2^*\big(T^{**}\big(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this inequality with the estimate (2.8) we deduce that

$$\text{Re}\big(y_2^*(T^{**}\big(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)\big) \geq \big(1-\eta\big)^2 - \frac{\eta(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon_1}. \tag{2.12}$$

As a consequence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Re}\, y_2^*\big(\tilde{S}^{**}\big(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)\big) &= \text{Re}\, y_2^*\big(T^{**}\big(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)\big) + \varepsilon_1 \text{Re } y_1^*(T^{**}\big(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)y_2^*\big(T^{**}\big(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)\big) \\
&\geq \big(1-\eta\big)^2 - \frac{\eta(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_1|\mu_1|(B)\Big(\big(1-\eta\big)^2 - \frac{\eta(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon_1}\Big) \\
&\geq \Big((1-\eta)^2 - \frac{\eta(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon_1}\Big)\Big(1 + \varepsilon_1\big(1-\eta\big)\Big) \qquad \text{(by (2.6))}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} y_2^*\big(S_2\big(\overline{g_1}_{|B}\big)\big) &\geq \operatorname{Re} y_2^*\big(S_1\big(\overline{g_1}_{|B}\big)\big) - \|S_2 - S_1\| \\
&\geq \operatorname{Re} y_2^*\big(\tilde{S}^{**}\big(\overline{g_1}\chi_B\big)\big) - \|S_2 - S_1\| \\
&\geq \Big((1-\eta)^2 - \frac{\eta(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon_1}\Big)\Big(1+\varepsilon_1\big(1-\eta\big)\Big) - \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2} \qquad \text{(by (2.10))} \\
&\geq \Big((1-\eta)^2 - \frac{\eta(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon_1}\Big)\Big(1+\varepsilon_1\big(1-\eta\big)\Big) - \frac{\eta\varepsilon\|S_2\|}{2} \qquad \text{(by (2.10) and (2.8))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us write $R_2 = \dfrac{S_2}{\|S_2\|}$ and $\mu_2 = R_2^*(y_2^*) \in M(B)$. Let $g_2 = \dfrac{d\mu_2}{d|\mu_2|}$ and we can assume that $|g_2| = 1$. From the previous inequality, in view of (2.10) and (2.8) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} y_2^*\big(R_2\big(\overline{g_1}_{|B}\big)\big) &\geq \frac{\Big((1-\eta)^2 - \frac{\eta(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon_1}\Big)\Big(1+\varepsilon_1\ \big(1-\eta\big)\Big)}{\|S_2\|} - \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2} \\
&\geq \frac{\Big((1-\eta)^2 - \frac{\eta(2+\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon_1}\Big)\Big(1+\varepsilon_1\big(1-\eta\big)\Big)}{1+\varepsilon_1} - \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2} \qquad (2.13) \\
&= 1 - \frac{2\eta - 2\eta^2 + \varepsilon_1\big(1-(1-\eta)^3\big) + \frac{2\eta+\eta\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon_1} + \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2}(2+\varepsilon_1-\eta)}{1+\varepsilon_1} \\
&> 1 - 6\eta - 2\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the measurable set C of L given by

$$C = \big\{t \in B : \operatorname{Re}\ \big(\overline{g_1}(t) + h_1(t)\big)g_2(t) > 2 - \beta\big\}.$$

In view of (2.11) and (2.13) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
2 - 6\eta - 2\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon\eta &< \operatorname{Re}\mu_2(h_1 + \overline{g_1}_{|B}) \\
&= \int_C \operatorname{Re}(h_1+\overline{g_1})g_2\, d|\mu_2| + \int_{B\setminus C} \operatorname{Re}(h_1+\overline{g_1})g_2\, d|\mu_2| \\
&\leq 2|\mu_2|(C) + (2-\beta)|\mu_2|(B\setminus C) \\
&= 2|\mu_2|(B) - \beta|\mu_2|(B\setminus C) \\
&\leq 2 - \beta|\mu_2|(B\setminus C).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\mu_2|(B\setminus C) \leq \frac{6\eta + 2\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon\eta}{\beta}. \qquad (2.14)$$

On the other hand, in view of Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$\|\big(g_1 - g_2\big)\chi_C\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2\beta} = \frac{\varepsilon}{12} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|\big(h_1 - \overline{g_2}\big)\chi_C\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2\beta} = \frac{\varepsilon}{12}. \qquad (2.15)$$

From the previous inequality and (2.3) it follows that

$$\|\big(h_1 - f_0\big)\chi_C\|_\infty \leq \|\big(h_1 - \overline{g_2}\big)\chi_C\|_\infty + \|\big(\overline{g_2} - \overline{g_1}\big)\chi_C\|_\infty + \|\big(\overline{g_1} - f_0\big)\chi_C\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \qquad (2.16)$$

By the inner regularity of μ_2 there is a compact set $K_1 \subset C$ such that

$$|\mu_2|(C\backslash K_1) < \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{2.17}$$

Let us notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_2^{**}P_{K_1}\| &\geq \|y_2^* R_2^{**} P_{K_1}\| = |\mu_2|(K_1) \\
&= |\mu_2|(B) - |\mu_2|(B\backslash C) - |\mu_2|(C\backslash K_1) \\
&\geq \operatorname{Re} y_2^*\big(R_2\big(\overline{g_1}_{|B}\big)\big) - |\mu_2|(B\backslash C) - |\mu_2|(C\backslash K_1) \\
&\geq \operatorname{Re} y_2^*\big(R_2\big(\overline{g_1}_{|B}\big)\big) - |\mu_2|(B\backslash C) - \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2} \qquad \text{(by (2.17))} \\
&\geq 1 - 6\eta - 2\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon\eta - \frac{6\eta + 2\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon\eta}{\beta} - \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2} \qquad \text{(by (2.13) and (2.14))} \\
&> 1 - 2\frac{6\eta + 2\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon\eta}{\beta} - \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2} \\
&> 1 - \frac{\delta\big(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}\big)}{1 + \delta\big(\frac{\varepsilon}{9}\big)} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $K_1 \neq \varnothing$.

In view of Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$\|R_2^{**}(P_B - P_{K_1})\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}. \tag{2.18}$$

We denote by T_2 the element in $L(C_0(L), Y)$ defined by

$$T_2(f) = R_2(f_{|B}) \qquad (f \in C_0(L)).$$

Clearly it is satisfied that $\|T_2^{**}(I - P_{K_1})\| = \|R_2^{**}(P_B - P_{K_1})\|$ and since $T_2^{**}(P_B - P_{K_1}) = T_2^{**}(I - P_{K_1})P_B$ in view of (2.18) we obtain

$$\|T_2^{**}(P_B - P_{K_1})\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{9}. \tag{2.19}$$

We also write $R(f) = T^{**}(f\chi_B)$ for every $f \in C(B)$ and so we have

$$\|\big(T_2^{**} - T^{**}\big)P_B\| = \|R_2 - R\|. \tag{2.20}$$

By the definition of S_1 we know that

$$\|S_1 - R\| \leq \varepsilon_1. \tag{2.21}$$

Since $K_1 \neq \varnothing$, let us fix $t_0 \in K_1$. Since $K_1 \subset C$, we have that $|h_1(t_0)| > 1 - \beta > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. So we can choose and open set V in B such that $t_0 \in V \subset \big\{t \in B : |h_1(t)| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\big\}$ and a function $v \in C(B)$ satisfying $v(B) \subset [0,1], v(t_0) = 1$ and supp $v \subset V$. So there are functions $h_i \in C(B)$ $(i = 2, 3)$ such that

$$h_2(t) = h_1(t) + v(t)\big(1 - |h_1(t)|\big)\frac{h_1(t)}{|h_1(t)|} \qquad (t \in B). \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$h_3(t) = h_1(t) - v(t)\big(1 - |h_1(t)|\big)\frac{h_1(t)}{|h_1(t)|} \qquad (t \in B). \tag{2.23}$$

It is clear that $h_i \in B_{C(B)}$ for $i = 2, 3$ and $h_1 = \frac{1}{2}\big(h_2 + h_3\big)$. By using that the operator R_2 attains its norm at h_1 we clearly have that

$$\|R_2(h_2)\| = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad |h_2(t_0)| = 1. \tag{2.24}$$

Since supp $v \subset V \subset \big\{t \in B : |h_1(t)| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\big\}$ we obtain for $t \in V$ that

$$\big|h_2(t) - h_1(t)\big| \leq 1 - |h_1(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{2.25}$$

For $t \in B\backslash V$, $h_2(t) = h_1(t)$ so $\|h_2 - h_1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. In view of (2.16) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|h_2 - f_{0|C}\big\| &\leq \big\|h_2 - h_1\big\| + \big\|h_1 - f_{0|C}\big\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \\ &= \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Since $B \subset L$ is a compact subset, there is a function $f_2 \in C_0(L)$ such that it extends the function h_2 to L (see for instance [**22**, Corollary 9.15 and Theorem 12.4] and [**25**, Theorems 17 and 18]). Since the function $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\Phi(z) = z$ if $|z| \leq 1$ and $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{|z|}$ if $|z| > 1$ is continuous, by using $\Phi \circ f_2$ instead of f_2 if needed, and the fact that $h_2 \in S_{C(B)}$ we can also assume that $f_2 \in S_{C_0(L)}$. Since f_2 is an extension of h_2, by using (2.26) there is an open set $G \subset L$ such that $K_1 \subset G$ and satisfying also that

$$\|\big(f_2 - f_0\big)\chi_G\|_\infty < \frac{7\varepsilon}{8}. \tag{2.27}$$

By Urysohn's Lemma there is a function $u \in C_0(L)$ such that $u(L) \subset [0, 1]$, $u_{|K_1} = 1$ and supp $u \subset G$. We define the function f_3 by

$$f_3 = uf_2 + (1 - u)f_0,$$

that clearly belongs to $B_{C_0(L)}$.

Notice also that

$$f_3(t) = f_2(t) = h_2(t) \ \ \forall t \in K_1, \qquad f_3(t) = f_0(t) \ \ \forall t \in L\backslash G \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$|f_3(t) - f_0(t)| = u(t)|f_2(t) - f_0(t)|, \qquad \forall t \in G\backslash K_1. \tag{2.29}$$

In view of (2.27) we obtain that

$$\|f_3 - f_0\| < \varepsilon. \tag{2.30}$$

We write $\lambda_0 = \overline{h_2(t_0)}$ and we know that $|\lambda_0| = 1$. Define the operator $S \in L(C_0(L), Y)$ given by

$$S(f) = R_2^{**}\big((f\chi_{K_1})_{|B}\big) + \lambda_0 f(t_0) R_2^{**}\big(h_2\chi_{B\backslash K_1}\big) \qquad (f \in C_0(L)).$$

Since R_2 is weakly compact, S is well-defined. For every $f \in B_{C_0(L)}$ we have that $|\lambda_0 f(t_0)| \leq 1$ and so

$$\|(f\chi_{K_1})_{|B} + \lambda_0 f(t_0) h_2 \chi_{B\backslash K_1}\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

Since $\|R_2\| \leq 1$, then

$$\|S(f)\| = \|R_2^{**}\big((f\chi_{K_1})_{|B} + \lambda_0 f(t_0)h_2\chi_{B\setminus K_1}\big)\| \leq 1.$$

It is also satisfied that

$$\begin{aligned} S(f_3) &= R_2^{**}\big((f_3\chi_{K_1})_{|B}\big) + \lambda_0 f_3(t_0)R_2^{**}(h_2\chi_{B\setminus K_1}) \\ &= R_2^{**}(h_2) \qquad \text{(by (2.28))} \\ &= R_2(h_2) \end{aligned}$$

and in view of (2.24) we obtain $\|S(f_3)\| = \|R_2(h_2)\| = 1$. Hence $S \in S_{L(C_0(L),Y)}$ and it attains its norm at f_3. We also know that $\|f_3 - f_0\| < \varepsilon$ by inequality (2.30). It suffices to check that S is close to T. Indeed we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|S - T\| &\leq \|S^{**} - T^{**}P_B\| + \|T^{**}(I - P_B)\| \\ &\leq \|T_2^{**}P_{K_1} - T^{**}P_B\| + \|R_2^{**}(P_B - P_{K_1})\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{9} \qquad \text{(by (2.7))} \\ &= \|\big(T_2^{**} - T^{**}\big)P_B\| + \|T_2^{**}(P_B - P_{K_1})\| + \frac{2\varepsilon}{9} \qquad \text{(by (2.18))} \\ &\leq \|\big(T_2^{**} - T^{**}\big)P_B\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \qquad \text{(by (2.19))} \\ &= \|R_2 - R\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \qquad \text{(by (2.20))} \\ &\leq \|R_2 - S_2\| + \|S_2 - S_1\| + \|S_1 - R\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &\leq \big|1 - \|S_2\|\big| + \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2} + \varepsilon_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \qquad \text{(by (2.10) and (2.21))} \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon_1 + \frac{\eta\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \varepsilon \qquad \text{(by (2.9) and (2.10)).} \end{aligned}$$

□

Since the complex spaces $L_p(\mu)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ are $\mathbb{C}$-uniformly convex we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2.5. *In the complex case the pair $(C_0(L), L_p(\mu))$ does have the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators for every Hausdorff locally compact space L, every positive measure μ and $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

As we already mentioned we extended in a non trivial way a result by S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee and P.K. Lin where they consider any (complex) space $L_\infty(\nu)$ as the domain space [**28**].

Open problem: In the real case it is not known whether or not the pair (c_0, ℓ_1) has the BPBp for operators.

Acknowledgement: It is my pleasure to thank Miguel Martín for some comment and to Han Ju Lee for pointing out some references that simplified the assertion in the main result.

References

[1] M.D. Acosta, *Norm attaining operators into $L_1(\mu)$*, Contemp. Math., Vol. **232**, Amer. Math Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1999, 1–11.
[2] M.D. Acosta, R.M. Aron, D. García and M. Maestre, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem for operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (11) (2008), 2780–2799.
[3] M.D. Acosta, J. Becerra-Guerrero, Y.S. Choi, M. Ciesielski, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee, L. Lourenço and M. Martín, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators between spaces of continuous functions*, Nonlinear Anal. **95** (2014), 323–332.
[4] M.D. Acosta, J. Becerra-Guerrero, D. García, S.K. Kim and M. Maestre, *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for certain spaces of operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **414** (2014), 532–545. (`http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2013.12.056`)
[5] F.J. Aguirre, *Norm-attaining operators into strictly convex Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **222** (1998), 431–437.
[6] J. Alaminos, Y.S. Choi, S.G. Kim and R. Payá, *Norm attaining bilinear forms on spaces of continuous functions,* Glasgow Math. J. **40** (1998), 359–365.
[7] J. Alaminos, R. Payá and A. Villena, *Norm attaining bilinear forms on C^*-algebras,* Studia Math. **157** (2003), 47–56.
[8] R.M. Aron, B. Cascales and O. Kozhushkina, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem and Asplund operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), 3553–3560.
[9] R.M. Aron, Y.S. Choi, D. García and M. Maestre, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem for $\mathcal{L}(L_1(\mu), L_\infty[0,1])$*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), 617–628.
[10] R.M. Aron, Y.S. Choi, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee and M. Martín, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás version of properties A and B*, preprint.
[11] E. Bishop and R.R. Phelps, *A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1961), 97–98.
[12] B. Bollobás, *An extension to the theorem of Bishop and Phelps*, Bull. London. Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 181–182.
[13] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges II*, Lecture Note Series **10** London Math. Soc., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
[14] J. Bourgain, *Dentability and the Bishop-Phelps property*, Israel J. Math. **28** (1977), 265–271.
[15] B. Cascales, A.J. Guirao, and V. Kadets, *A Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás type theorem for uniform algebras*, Adv. Math. **240** (2013), 370–382.
[16] Y.S. Choi and S.K. Kim, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem for operators from $L_1(\mu)$ to Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 1446–1456.
[17] Y.S. Choi, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee and M. Martín, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem for operators on $L_1(\mu)$*, J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), 214–242.
[18] C. Finet and R. Payá, *Norm attaining operators from L_1 into L_∞*, Israel J. Math. **108** (1998), 139–143.
[19] J. Globevnik, *On complex strict and uniform convexity,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), 175–178.
[20] W.T. Gowers, *Symmetric block bases of sequences with large average growth*, Israel J. Math. **69** (1990), 129–151.
[21] A. Iwanik, *Norm attaining operators on Lebesgue spaces,* Pacific J. Math. **83** (1979), 381–386.
[22] G.J.O. Jameson, Topology and normed spaces, Chapman and Hall, London, 1974.
[23] J. Johnson and J. Wolfe, *Norm attaining operators*, Studia Math. **65** (1979), 7–19.
[24] J. Johnson and J. Wolfe, *Norm attaining operators and simultaneously continuous retractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 609–612.
[25] J.L. Kelley, General topology, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **27**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1975.
[26] S.K. Kim, *The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás Theorem for operators from c_0 to uniformly convex spaces*, Israel. J. Math. **197** (2013) 425–435.(`http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11856-012-0186-x`)
[27] S.K. Kim and H.J. Lee, *Uniform convexity and Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property*, preprint.

[28] S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee and P.K. Lin, *Uniform convexity and Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property*, preprint.
[29] J. Lindenstrauss, *On operators which attain their norm*, Israel J. Math. **1** (1963), 139–148.
[30] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces,* **Vol. 1**, Sringer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
[31] M. Martín, *Norm attaining compact operators,* preprint.
[32] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis,* McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
[33] W. Schachermayer, *Norm attaining operators on some classical Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **105** (1983), 427–438.
[34] J.J. Uhl, Jr, *Norm attaining operators on* $L_1[0,1]$ *and the Radon-Nykodým property*, Pacific J. Math. **63** (1976), 293–300.

Universidad de Granada, Facultad de Ciencias. Departamento de Análisis Matemático, 18071-Granada (Spain)
E-mail address: dacosta@ugr.es